1. BTZ black hole

Lorentzian AdS₃ (with closed timelike curves) is defined as

$$-(X^{0})^{2} + (X^{1})^{2} + (X^{2})^{2} - (X^{3})^{2} = -\ell^{2}$$
(1)

which has the global solution

$$\begin{pmatrix} X^0 \\ X^3 \end{pmatrix} = \ell \cosh \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \cos(t/\ell) \\ \sin(t/\ell) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} X^1 \\ X^2 \end{pmatrix} = \ell \sinh \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \cos(t/\ell) \\ \sin(t/\ell) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi \sim \varphi + 2\pi$, and $t \sim t + 2\pi \ell$ (this represents the closed timelike curve). The metric reads

$$ds^{2} = -\cosh^{2}(\lambda) dt^{2} + \ell^{2} d\lambda^{2} + \ell^{2} \sinh^{2}(\lambda) d\varphi^{2}$$
(3)

and at this point we can "unroll" the time coordinate t, taking $t \in \mathbb{R}$. After passing to a new coordinate $r \in \mathbb{R}$ via

$$\sinh \lambda = \frac{r}{\ell},\tag{4}$$

the projected metric reads

$$ds^{2} = -\tilde{f}(r) dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{\tilde{f}(r)} + r^{2} d\varphi^{2}, \quad \tilde{f}(r) = 1 + \frac{r^{2}}{\ell^{2}}.$$
 (5)

(a) The metric of the exercise is very similar to (5), except we have

$$f_{\text{BTZ}}(r) = f(-8GM; r), \quad f(c; r) := c + \frac{r^2}{\ell^2}$$
 (6)

instead of $\tilde{f}(r)$. Notice that GM is dimensionless, since by its definition the mass dimension of G is [G] = 2 - d = -1 in 2 + 1 spacetime dimensions. In fact, we can study the curvature tensors of the metric (34) keeping c arbitrary. Then for any c, we have the identity¹

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = -\frac{1}{\ell^2} (g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma} - g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\rho}) \tag{7}$$

so locally, $g_{\mu\nu}$ is maximally symmetric, with scalar curvature $R = -6/\ell^2$. Since this holds for any c, it holds in particular for $f_{\rm BTZ}$.

(b) Since the metric only depends on r, it's easy to see that $\xi_{(1)} = \partial_t$ and $\xi_{(2)} = \partial_{\varphi}$ are Killing vectors. The global AdS₃ metric, with c = 1, has 6 Killing vectors, since that is the dimension of SO(2,2). However, the 4 remaining ones cease to be solutions of $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ when $c \neq 1$.²

¹This is easiest to check in Mathematica.

²To fully explore this claim, one should actually write down the equations $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}g_{\mu\nu} = 0$, which is a set of 6 equations (since $g_{\mu\nu}$ has 6 independent components) for 3 functions $(\xi^t, \xi^r, \xi^{\varphi})$. This is a tedious exercise.

(c) The norm of the timelike Killing vector is

$$-\xi_{(1)} \cdot \xi_{(1)} = f_{\text{BTZ}}(r) = \frac{r^2 - r_h^2}{\ell^2}.$$
 (8)

This is positive (resp. negative) if $r > r_h$ (resp. $r < r_h$), so $r = r_h$ is indeed a Killing horizon.

(d) Let's use the Brown-York formalism. Given a Killing vector ξ^a (pushed to the boundary), the corresponding conserved charge is

$$Q[\xi] = \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{\partial \Sigma} d^{d-2}x \sqrt{\sigma} \, n^a T_{ab} \xi^b = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \sqrt{\gamma} \, \Delta K_{ab} n^a \xi^b \tag{9}$$

with

$$\Delta K_{ab} := K_{ab} - \gamma_{ab} K - \frac{1}{\ell} \gamma_{ab}. \tag{10}$$

Here K_{ab} is the 2 × 2 projection of the exterior curvature $K_{\mu\nu} = -\nabla_{\nu}(\sigma\nu_{\mu})$, the vector field $\sigma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} = \sqrt{f_{\rm BTZ}(r)}\partial_{r}$ is the unit-normalized outward facing normal, and γ_{ab} is the 2 × 2 metric of the boundary of AdS. Finally n^{a} is the projection of n^{μ} , the unit normal orthogonal to the timeslice Σ .

A straightforward computation (keeping c arbitrary for now) gives

$$K_{\mu\nu}(r) = r\sqrt{f(r)} \times \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\ell^2}, 0, -1\right). \tag{11}$$

This is indeed of rank 2, since $K^{\mu\nu}=0$. Projecting to the boundary coordinates $x^a=\{t,\varphi\}$ gives the non-degenerate 2×2 matrix

$$\Delta K_{ab} = -\frac{c}{2} \times \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\ell}, \ell\right) + O(1/r^2) \tag{12}$$

with individual components

$$\Delta K_{tt} \approx \frac{4GM}{\ell}, \quad \Delta K_{\varphi\varphi} \approx 4GM\ell, \quad \Delta K_{t\varphi} = 0.$$
 (13)

Setting c to its BTZ value c = -8GM, and discarding $O(1/r^2)$ terms. In this formula, the subtraction term $(1/\ell) \times \gamma_{ab}$ is crucial — without it, terms proportional to r appear that diverge near the boundary of AdS.

Let's inspect the large-r behavior of the BY integrand (38) in more detail. The (d-2)-dimensional boundary metric is $\sigma_{\varphi\varphi} = r^2$, so $\sqrt{\sigma} = r$. Yet $n^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f_{\text{BTZ}}(r)}}(1,0,0)$, so after projecting to the boundary, we have $n^a \sim (\ell/r,0)$ as $r \to \infty$. It follows that $\sqrt{\sigma}n^a \sim (\ell,0)$ as $r \to \infty$. Thus, if we specialize to the timelike Killing vector $\xi^a = (1,0)$, we get

$$E = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \sqrt{\sigma} n^t \Delta K_{tt} + O(1/r) = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \cdot 2\pi \ell \cdot \frac{4GM}{\ell}$$

$$= M.$$

$$(41)$$

Note that this is a dimensionful result; we could have defined the conserved charge with an additional factor of ℓ to make it dimensionless.

In passing, you can easily show that the spin J of the BTZ black hole from the exercise vanishes, since $\Delta K_{t\varphi} = 0$.

(e) Intrinsically, the surface gravity κ is defined as

$$\xi^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}\xi^{\nu} = \kappa\xi^{\nu} \tag{42}$$

which is to be evaluated at the Killing horizon. This is awkward to compute in the metric (5), since $g_{rr} \to \infty$ precisely at $r = r_h$. Either we can change coordinates to something akin to Kruskal coordinates, or we use the formula

$$\kappa^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^\mu \xi^\nu \nabla_\mu \xi_\nu \bigg|_{r=r_h},\tag{43}$$

which works in any coordinate system (for a derivation, see Wald, Eq. (12.5.14)).

What remains is to compute $\nabla_{\mu}\xi_{\nu}$ for the Killing vector $\xi^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}=\partial_{t}$. This is straightforward, for example in Mathematica. We find that

$$\nabla_r \xi_t = -\frac{r}{\ell^2}, \quad \nabla_t \xi_r = \frac{r}{\ell^2}, \tag{44}$$

and $\nabla_{\mu}\xi_{j}=0$ for all other components. (In particular, $\nabla_{\mu}\xi^{\mu}+\nabla_{\nu}\xi^{\mu}=0$, as befits a Killing vector.) Moreover, $g^{tt}g_{rr}=-1$, so $\nabla^{\mu}\xi^{\nu}=-\nabla_{\mu}\xi_{\nu}$ numerically. It follows that

$$\kappa^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(-1) \cdot 2\left[\frac{r_h}{\ell^2}\right]^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \kappa = \frac{r_h}{\ell^2} = \sqrt{\frac{8GM}{\ell}}.$$
 (45)

(f) The "area" A of a black hole in 2+1d is its circumference.³ For the BTZ black hole, this is $A=2\pi r_h$. Hence the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy reads

$$S_{\rm BH} = \frac{A}{4G} = \frac{\pi r_h}{2G} = \sqrt{\frac{2M}{G}\pi\ell}.$$
 (46)

(g) The Euclidean version of the BTZ geometry is

$$ds^{2} = f(r)dr^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{f(r)} + r^{2}d\varphi^{2}.$$
 (47)

where $\tau \sim \tau + \beta$ for some inverse temperature $\beta > 0$. Just as before, you can check that locally, this is a maximally symmetric space with $R = -6/\ell^2$ for any c, and in particular for c = -8GM. Now, the function $f_{\rm BTZ}(r)$ has a root at $r = r_h$. By the conical defect trick, we must set

$$\beta = \frac{4\pi}{f'(r_h)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad T = \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{\sqrt{2GM}}{\pi\ell}.$$

This computes the temperature of the BTZ black hole. Finally, since $T = \kappa/(2\pi)$, we predict that

$$\kappa = 2\pi T = \frac{\sqrt{8GM}}{\ell}.$$

This matches the previous result.

³A black hole in a spacetime of total dimension D = d+1 has a surface area $A \sim R^{d-1}$ for some length scale R. At the same time, in d dimensions, the Newton constant has mass dimension [G] = 2 - D = 1 - d. Therefore S = A/(4G) is dimensionless in any dimension, as befits an entropy.

(h) The central charge in AdS₃ is related to the AdS radius via

$$c = \frac{3\ell}{2G}.$$

We can now apply the Cardy formula with $E = M\ell$, which gives

$$S_{\text{Cardy}} = \sqrt{\frac{2M}{G}\pi\ell}.$$

This matches precisely with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy $S_{\rm BH}$ that you computed previously.

2. The Cardy formula

(a) We interpret $Z(\beta, R)$ as the partition function on a torus which has circumferences β and $2\pi R$. Of course we can swap the circumferences $\beta \leftrightarrow 2\pi R$ (corresponding to swapping one space with one (Euclidean) time direction) as pointed out in the main text. So that we have a torus with circumferences $2\pi R$ and β . (and now β is interpreted as the circumference of the circle on which the QFT lives, with radius $\beta/(2\pi)$, where as $2\pi R$ is the length of the compactified "time" direction.).

In a CFT, we also have scale invariance. Thus, we can rescale

$$(2\pi R, \beta) \to 2\pi \frac{R}{\beta} \cdot (2\pi R, \beta) = \left(\frac{4\pi^2 R^2}{\beta}, 2\pi R\right)$$
 (15)

so we have a torus with circumferences $4\pi^2 R^2 \beta$ and $2\pi R$. This is interpreted as a CFT again on a spatial circle of radius R but now the time direction is compactified on a circumference $\sim 1/\beta$,

$$Z(\beta, R) = Z\left(\frac{4\pi^2 R^2}{\beta}, R\right) \tag{16}$$

This is interesting because it relates the infinite temperature limit to the low temperature limit.

(b) The easiest limit is the low temperature limit $\beta \to \infty$ where $Z = \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n}$ is dominated by the state n which lowest energy. For a unitary 2d CFT, this state has the Casimir energy $E_0 = -\frac{c}{12R}$. Thus,

$$Z(\beta, R) \approx e^{\frac{\beta c}{12R}} \qquad (\beta \to \infty)$$
 (17)

Using (16), this gives the infinite temperature $\beta \to 0$ behaviour as well

$$Z(\beta, R) \approx e^{\frac{4\pi^2 R}{\beta} \frac{c}{12}} \qquad (\beta \to 0)$$
 (18)

To obtain the entropy, we compute the energy density of states defined through

$$Z(\beta) = \int \rho(E)e^{-\beta E}dE \tag{19}$$

Writing $\rho(E) = e^{S(E)}$ where S is the entropy, we want to solve S such that it gives the behaviour (18). It is useful to rescale $E = \hat{E}/\beta$ so that

$$Z(\beta) = \frac{1}{\beta} \int e^{S(\hat{E}/\beta) - \hat{E}} d\hat{E}$$
 (20)

We now perform a saddle point approximation in the large $1/\beta$ limit. The integral will be dominated around the saddle for which

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{E}}(S(\hat{E}/\beta) - \hat{E}) = 0 \tag{21}$$

It is not hard to convince oneself that $S(E) \sim \sqrt{E}$ is the correct choice to reproduce (18). Indeed, for such a choice the saddle is at $\hat{E} \sim 1/\beta$ so that it contributes $\sim e^{1/\beta}$. Fixing the factors, we obtain

$$S(E) = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{cER}{3}} \tag{22}$$

3. Asymptotic charges in gauge theory

(a) The infinitesimal variation of the fields under this transformation is:

$$\delta \phi = i\epsilon \phi, \quad \delta \phi^* = -i\epsilon \phi^*.$$

The conserved current is:

$$j_{\phi}^{\mu} = i \left(\partial^{\mu} \phi^{\star} \phi - \phi^{\star} \partial^{\mu} \phi \right).$$

The conserved charge associated with this current on a spacelike hypersurface Σ is:

$$Q_1 = \int_{\Sigma} d^{D-1}x \ j_0 = \int_{\Sigma} d^{D-1}x \ i \left(\partial_0 \phi^* \phi - \phi^* \partial_0 \phi \right).$$

(b) Given any Lagrangian L depending on a set of fields and their derivatives $\{\phi^a, \partial_\mu \phi^a\}$, the action variation can be written as

$$\delta S = \int_{M} \sum_{a} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi^{a}} \delta \phi^{a} + \frac{\delta L}{\delta (\partial_{\mu} \phi^{a})} \partial_{\mu} \delta \phi^{a}$$

$$= \int_{M} \sum_{a} \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi^{a}} - \partial_{\mu} \frac{\delta L}{\delta (\partial_{\mu} \phi^{a})} \right) \delta \phi^{a} + \partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta (\partial_{\mu} \phi^{a})} \delta \phi^{a} \right)$$

$$= \int_{M} EOM + \partial_{\mu} S^{\mu}$$
(23)

(c) From the previous equation, applied to our system, we get (up to an overall minus sign):

$$S^{\mu} = \frac{\delta L}{\delta(\partial_{\mu}\phi)} \delta \phi + \frac{\delta L}{\delta(\partial_{\mu}\phi^{*})} \delta \phi^{*} + \frac{\delta L}{\delta(\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu})} \delta A_{\nu}$$

$$= g\epsilon(x) j_{\phi}^{\mu} + (\partial_{\nu}\epsilon(x)) F^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon(x) (gj_{\phi}^{\mu} - \partial_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) + \partial_{\nu} (\epsilon(x)F^{\mu\nu})$$
(24)

Using the equations of motion,

$$\partial_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = gj^{\mu}_{\phi} \tag{25}$$

Then we get

$$S^{\mu} = \partial_{\nu} \left(\epsilon(x) F^{\nu \mu} \right) \tag{26}$$

(d) The charge can be found by integrating the current

$$Q_2 = \int_{\Sigma} S_0 = \int_{\partial \Sigma} \epsilon F_{0i} n^i \tag{27}$$

where n^i is the normal vector to $\partial \Sigma$. This shows that charges in gauge theory can be computed as a boundary integral.

- (e) Charges in gauge theory are 0 if the U(1) transformation is a gauge transformation, i.e. if $\epsilon(x)|_{\partial\Sigma}=0$. On the other hand, genuine physical symmetries act non-trivially on the boundary and are characterised by $\epsilon(x)|_{\partial\Sigma}\neq 0$, and thus can have a non-zero charge.
- (f) If $g \to 0$, then the fields ϕ and A^{μ} decouple, hence the problem is now characterised by two charges: one associated to the U(1) asymptotic symmetries (also known as "large gauge transformations") of the Maxwell field, that are computed as boundary integrals, and one associated to the U(1) global symmetry of the ϕ .